s-f exchange mechanism of magnon generation in an antiferromagnetic in a quantizing magnetic field

V. D. Lakhno

Scientific-Research Computing Center, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Pushchino, Moscow Province (Submitted May 4, 1984) Fiz. Tverd. TeIa (Leningrad) 26, 2547-2548 (August 1984)

There have been many studies, both theoretical and experimental,^{1, 2} of spin waves emitted in a ferromagnetic by charged particles moving at a sufficiently high velocity. In particular, the Cherenkov mechanism of magnum generation by conduction electrons moving at a speed $v > v_s$ (where v_s is the spin wave phase velocity) has been disussed.² It was assumed that the main contribution to the emission comes from the relativistic interaction

$$H = -\frac{e}{m^*c} A(r)\hat{P},\tag{1}$$

where A(r) is the vector potential of the field due to magnetization oscillators; \hat{P} and m^* are the carrier momentum and effective mass. An important point is that the contribution to the emission from the *s*-*f* exchange interaction is zero here because of the conservation of the total angular momentum of the system at T = 0.

The situation is quite different for carriers in an antiferromagnetic. Here, the total number of magnons at T = 0 in the system consisting of the electron and the magnetic atom spins is not an integral of the motion, and the main contribution to the emission comes from the *s*-*f* exchange interaction

$$H = -A(S_r, \sigma(r)), \tag{2}$$

where *A* is the *s*-*f* exchange constant and *Sr* the magnetic atom spin. The effect is most noticeable in a strong magnetic field, when the quantization of the conduction electrons in the field has to be taken into account. For an antiferromagnetic in a quantizing magnetic field, the magnon generation rate γ is governed by the decay in the electron spectrum state; with the results of a previous paper, ³ we have

$$\gamma_{k} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{q} C_{q}^{2} \delta\left(\varepsilon_{k_{z}} - \varepsilon_{k_{z}-q_{z}} - \omega_{q}\right),$$
(3)

where $\varepsilon_{k_z} = \hbar^2 k_z^2 / 2m^*$ is the unrenormalized energy of a conduction electron. In the extreme quantum limit, when the approximation of the first Landau level is valid, we have³

$$C_{q} = \frac{A}{4\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{S}{N}} \left(qa\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1-h^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} exp\left\{-\rho_{0}^{2} q_{\perp}^{2}/4\right\},$$
(4)

where $h=H/H_c$; H_c is the antiferromagnetic spin flip field $\rho_0 = \sqrt{c\hbar/eH}$ is the magnetic length; $q_{\perp}^2 = q_x^2 + q_y^2$. When the electron velocity exceeds the spin wave velocity, $v \gg v_s$, the magnon frequency in Eq. (3) may be neglected. Changing from summation there to integration, we get from Eqs. (3) and (4)

$$\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{16\pi} \frac{A^2 S a^4}{\rho_0^3 \hbar v} \sqrt{1 - h^2} e^b \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}, b\right), \qquad b = \frac{m^{*2} v^2 \rho_0^2}{8 h^2}, \tag{5}$$

where Γ (3/2, b) is the incomplete gamma function. It follows from Eq. (5) that γ (h) has a maximum at

 $H \approx 0.8H$ and is zero at the transition to the ferromagnetic state in a field $H \ge H_c$. In the limit $b \gg 1$ or $b \gg 1$, we get from Eq. (5)

$$\gamma \approx \frac{1}{16 \pi \hbar^3} \left(\frac{a}{\rho_{0_c}} \right)^2 A^2 S m^* a^2 h \sqrt{1 - h^2}, \qquad b \gg 1,$$
 (6)

$$\gamma \approx \frac{\sqrt{2}}{16\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \frac{A^2 S a^4}{\hbar^2 \rho_{0_c} v} h^{3/2} \sqrt{1-h^2}, \qquad b \ll 1,$$
 (7)

where ρ_{0c} is the magnetic length corresponding to the spin flip field $\left(\rho_{0_c} = \sqrt{h} \rho_0\right)$. To estimate the generation rate, we take $H_c = 2 \cdot 10^7$ Oe, AS = 1eV, S = 1, $a \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-8}$ cm, $v = 10^7$ cm/sec, h = 0.1, $m^* \approx m$. In this case $b \sim 0.1 \ll 1$. Then, from Eq. (6a), the magnon generation rate is $\gamma = 10^{13}$ sec⁻¹. It is easily verified that with these parameter values the weak-coupling conditions³ are satisfied and so only the interaction terms linear in the magnon operators need be considered.

The s-f exchange mechanism of magnon generation has been proposed earlier⁴ for ferromagnetic. As mentioned above, this contribution must be zero at T=0. The nonzero result found⁴ is due to an incorrect form of the ferromagnetic Hamiltonian; the contribution of the quadratic terms in this case is exactly canceled by that of the terms linear in the magnons.

¹A.I. Akhiezer, V.G. Bar'yakhtar, and S.V. Peletminskii, Spin Waves,

North-Holland, Amsterdam; Wiley. New York (1968).

²M.C. Steele and B. Vural, Wave Interactions in Solid State Plasmas,

McGraw-Hill. New York (1969).

³V.D. Lakhno. Fiz. Tverd, TeIa (Leningrad) 26, 100 (1984) [Sov. Phys.

Solid State 26, 57 (1984)].

⁴M.A.F. Gomes and L. C.M. Miranda, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3788 (1975).

Translated by J. B. Sykes